

Services Delivery and Centralization in Urban Slums



In brief

- The opportunities of Indian cities are drawing increasing numbers of migrants into the urban environment. Thus, the need to provide infrastructure and public services in cities has become urgent and has consequences for growth and development.
- This study examines the relationship between public service delivery and the quality of life in Delhi's slums, and the information channels that affect the quality of delivery.
- Through mobilizing voters through information campaigns about local elected officials in the form of newspaper report cards, the authors sought to study the impact of differential information on electoral preferences.
- Preliminary results of concurrent IGC surveys finds a significant association between the quality of toilet and garbage facilities, and childhood nutrition. Our results suggest low quality sanitation services have no positive effect, but by investing in quality and cleanliness of toilet and garbage facilities, health outcomes may improve.
- The authors find that the provision of a politician report card is associated with an increase in toilets closing, but an increase in the number of toilet facilities (taps, lights, etc.) and the total number of toilet seats, in those that were kept open. However, report cards are also associated with increasing problems of severe garbage overflow.
- Governance changes have implications for the interpretation of our results. In July 2010, the Delhi Legislative Assembly shifted the responsibility of many critical services from municipal to state government. The majority of toilets were moved to state government control, while garbage collection remained at the municipal level, thus maybe helping to explain some perverse negative effects of information provision.

Summary

“Public services in cities, and particularly slums, remain of low quality. This has far reaching effects on the quality of urban life, and on health, education, and mobility

As growing numbers of migrants are drawn by the opportunities in Indian cities, providing infrastructure and public services for city dwellers has become increasingly urgent. Yet public services in cities, and particularly in slums, remain of low quality. This has far reaching effects on the quality of urban life, and on health, education, and mobility—with broad repercussions for growth and development. Our study examines the relationship between public service delivery and the quality of life in Delhi’s slums, and examines the channels through which information can affect the quality of their delivery.

By mobilizing voters through information campaigns about their local elected officials in the form of newspaper report cards, we sought to study the impact that differential information has on electoral preferences. We will make use of three rounds of public service audits collected in Delhi slums. The first round was conducted in early 2011, and the second from late 2011 to early 2012. With funding from USAID, a third round of audits will be conducted in April-May 2012, following Delhi’s municipal elections. These audits provide new information on the quality of garbage and toilet facilities in a random sample of 102 of Delhi’s 272 wards. To understand how public service delivery influences the health and decisions of slum dwellers, we make use of two concurrent surveys of the inhabitants of these communities, also funded by the IGC.

Preliminary results find a significant association between the quality of toilet and garbage facilities and childhood nutrition. Holding wealth constant, families living near a clean public toilet, with hand-washing facilities and without human waste, have better-nourished children. When nearby public toilets are dirty, however, these health benefits disappear. Children with access to a private toilet fare best. Similarly, families who have someone pick up garbage directly from their home tend to have better-nourished children. The presence of informal trash piles is associated with worse child nutrition, whereas formal dumpsters have no detectable relation. Our results suggest low quality sanitation services have no positive influence, but by investing in the quality and cleanliness of toilet and garbage facilities, health—and hence development outcomes—may be improved.

“MLA and MCD report cards are also associated with increasing problems of severe garbage overflow in dumpsters—an apparently perverse effect”

Our study also looks at how information affects politician behavior. We aggregated information from the service audits at the constituency level and sent report cards to a random group of Members of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) and Municipal Corporation of Delhi Councilors (MCDs). We find that provision of an MLA or MCD report card is associated with an increase in toilets closing, but an increase in the number of toilet facilities (taps, lights, etc) and the total number of toilet seats, in those toilets that were kept open. MLA and MCD report cards are also associated with increasing problems of severe garbage overflow in dumpsters—an apparently perverse effect that will be explored further. The effects of report card distribution differ between MLAs and MCDs, suggesting different responses or methods of influence on service delivery.

These results are preliminary. Any treatment effect will be magnified in the lead up to the 2012 elections, and we will be better equipped to analyse effects after the third

round of audits are collected. Also, the results of the voter information campaigns will be seen after the April elections.

Steps taken until endline

1. Mobilization of Resident Welfare Associations
2. Round One and Round Two of public service delivery audits and report cards
3. Round Two of distribution of newspaper report cards in slums (on-going)

Policy Implications

Politicians respond to information about the quality of the public services, albeit sometimes in unexpected ways

“The effects of report card distribution on MLAs and MCDs vary substantially, suggesting that each arm of government may have different methods of exerting influence on service delivery”

The initial results of the randomized control trial indicate that provision of an MLA or MCD report card is associated with an increase in toilets closing. However, we also find a significant increase in the number of toilet facilities (taps, lights, etc) and the total number of toilet seats in areas where MLAs received a report card, suggesting that the report cards may have prompted MLAs to shut down some toilets while increasing the usability of others. MLA and MCD report cards are also associated with increasing problems of severe garbage overflow in dumpsters, with a stronger effect for MLAs—further work will be required to interpret this apparently perverse result. The effects of report card distribution on MLAs and MCDs vary substantially, suggesting that each arm of government may have different methods of exerting influence on service delivery.

High quality of garbage and sanitation facilities is associated with better childhood nutrition

Analysis of the descriptive patterns in the cross-section finds that, after holding wealth constant, families living near a clean public toilet, with hand-washing facilities and without human waste, have on average better-nourished infant children. When nearby public toilets are dirty, however, these health benefits disappear. Children with access to a private toilet fare best. Similarly, families who have someone pick up garbage directly from their home tend to have better-nourished children. The presence of informal trash piles has adverse effects on child nutrition, whereas formal dumpsters have no detectable effect. While these are not experimental results (and so patterns may be affected by un-measured influences) the results suggest that childhood health can be improved by investing in the quality and cleanliness of toilet and garbage facilities, while the mere presence of sanitation facilities of low quality has no impact. This can have important long-term influences on the future human capabilities of children. (These effects are in addition to gains in the quality of life of better facilities for households in slums.)

“Families living near a clean public toilet, with hand-washing facilities and without human waste, have on average better-nourished infant children”

Governance changes have implications for the interpretation of our results

In July 2010, the Delhi Legislative Assembly shifted the responsibility for many critical services from the municipal to the Delhi state government. This was effectively a partial centralisation of service delivery. From interviews with government officials, we have learned that the majority of public toilets were moved to the control of State government, while garbage collection remained with the

municipal government. This may help to explain some of the apparently negative impacts of information provision. Further qualitative research can help shed light on this question.

About the authors

Abhijit Banerjee is currently the Ford Foundation International Professor of Economics at MIT. In 2003 he co-founded the Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab and remains one of the directors. He is a past president of the Bureau for the Research in the Economic Analysis of Development (BREAD), a Research Associate of the NBER, a CEPR research fellow, International Research Fellow of the Kiel Institute, a fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and the Econometric Society.

Rohini Pande is Mohammed Kamal Professor of Public Policy at the Harvard Kennedy School of Government. Pande is an NBER Research Associate and serves on the board of BREAD and the Committee on the Status of Women in the Economic Profession. Prior to joining the Kennedy School she was an Associate Professor of Economics at Yale University. She has taught at Yale University, MIT and Columbia. She holds a PhD and MSc in Economics from the LSE and an MA from Oxford.

Michael Walton is Lecturer in Public Policy at the Harvard Kennedy School, Senior Visiting Fellow at the Centre for Policy Research, Delhi. He was V.K.R.V. Rao Chair Professor, Institute of Social and Economic Change, Bangalore for 2008 and 2009. From 1980-2004, he worked at the World Bank. He was part of the management group for World Development Report 2000/2001: Attacking Poverty, and played a central role in the design of the poverty reduction strategy process for low income countries. Prior to joining the World Bank, he worked for the Central Planning and Development Office for the Government of Lesotho, 1997-79.

The International Growth Centre
(IGC) aims to promote sustainable
growth in developing countries
by providing demand-led policy
advice based on frontier research.

Find out more about
our work on our website
www.theigc.org

For media or communications
enquiries, please contact
mail@theigc.org

Follow us on Twitter
[@the_igc](https://twitter.com/the_igc)

International Growth Centre,
London School of Economic
and Political Science,
Houghton Street,
London WC2A 2AE



International
Growth Centre

Designed by soapbox.co.uk