

#### Learning in Firms: Evidence and Implications

#### Eric Verhoogen

#### IGC and Columbia University

#### Conference on FDI, SMEs, Firm Growth, and Trade in Kenya

March 29, 2017

### Introduction

- To be sustainable over the long run, growth has to be based on increasing productivity.
- ▶ Learning by firms is a key source of productivity improvement.
- A primary task of industrial policy, done well, is to promote learning.
- ▶ The \$64,000 question: How?

# Introduction (cont.)

#### Traditional view:

- Some sectors inherently generate more learning than others.
- The learning is partly external. Firms under-invest on their own.
- The government should subsidize/promote activities generating learning externalities.
- Modern incarnation: Hidalgo, Klinger, Barabási and Hausmann (2007) on monkeys and trees.

### The Product Space (Hidalgo et al., 2007)



Goods=trees, entrepreneurs=monkeys. "What trees you have monkeys on today determines where your monkeys will be tomorrow."

## Introduction (cont.)

- Both the traditional view and, arguably, the Hausmann et al view see learning as a function of output in particular sectors.
- Policy implication: get a steel, or auto, or electronics, or [fill in the blank] sector.
  - Learning will happen pretty much automatically.
- This talk: I will review some recent research on the learning process in firms and argue that the above view is too simple.
  - Learning is not automatic, and we need to think carefully about the circumstances under which it occurs and fails to occur.

#### Learning Gains (Potentially) Large



- Hendel and Spiegel (2014): steel mini-mill doubled output over 11 years with same capital and a familiar technology.
- Mostly not explained by observables.
- ▶ Their story: tweaking/experimentation by workforce.

#### But They Are Not Always Realized



 Bloom, Eifert, Mahajan, McKenzie and Roberts (2013): Large, mature textile firms in India had not implemented basic management practices (e.g. labelling inventory).

#### Intensive Consulting Can Help



But question remains: why hadn't firms adopted basic practices on their own?



Atkin, Chaudhry, Chaudry, Khandelwal and Verhoogen (forth.)

Standard "buckyball" design: 20 hexagons, 12 pentagons.

For standard ball, almost all firms use 2-hexagon and 2-pentagon "flush" dies.





Hexagons tessellate.  $~\sim$  8% of rexine wasted.



Pentagons don't.  $\sim$  20-24% of rexine wasted.



In a YouTube video of a Chinese factory producing the Adidas Jabulani ball, I noticed a different layout of pentagons.



I could also have gone to: G. Kuperberg and W. Kuperberg, "Double-Lattice Packings of Convex Bodies in the Plane," *Discrete* & Computational Geometry, 5: 389-397, 1990.



Fig. 7. Maximum density double-lattice packing with regular pentagons.

#### Or the Wikipedia Pentagons page:



Annalisa Guzzini (an architect, my wife) and I developed a blueprint based on optimal packing.



44mm-edge pentagons: ~250 with old die vs. 272 with ours.
43.5mm-edge pentagons: ~258 vs. 280.



#### Quick summary:

- ▶ We gave out the new dies to a random subset of firms.
- A few adopted, most did not.
- Cutters didn't like the die.
  - They are paid piece rates, with no incentive to reduce waste, and the new die was slowing them down.
  - They told owners it didn't work.
- ▶ We did a second experiment.
  - Offered bonus of one month's pay to show owners that dies work.
  - Workers accepted and about 50% of affected firms adopted.
- Moral of the story: conflict of interest within the firm can prevent adoption of a "no-brainer" technology.

### Competition Can Raise Productivity Within Firms



 Das, Krishna, Lychagin and Somanathan (2013): Bhilai Rail Mill in India, owned by public-sector company, had monopoly.
 Private firm threatened to enter in Nov. 1999 and April 2001.

## Exports Stimulate Quality Upgrading and Learning



 Atkin, Khandelwal and Osman (forth.) randomized initial export contacts among Egyptian rug producers.

onto			
	Control	ITT	TOT
	Mean	(1)	(2)
Corners	2.98	1.11 ***	1.70 ***
		(0.12)	(0.11)
Waviness	2.99	1.10 ***	1.68 ***
		(0.12)	(0.10)
Weight	3.08	1.07 ***	1.63 ***
		(0.11)	(0.11)
Touch	3.12	0.40 ***	0.66 ***
		(0.06)	(0.07)
Packedness	3.11	0.89 ***	1.59 ***
		(0.11)	(0.12)
Warp Thread Tightness	3.05	0.83 ***	1.49 ***
		(0.10)	(0.12)
Firmness	2.98	0.87 ***	1.60 ***
		(0.11)	(0.12)
Design Accuracy	3.17	0.79 ***	1.41 ***
		(0.10)	(0.12)
Warp Thread Packedness	3.05	1.07 ***	1.65 ***
		(0.11)	(0.11)
Inputs	3.07	0.89 ***	1.62 ***

## Exports $\Rightarrow$ Quality Upgrading and Learning (cont.)

The initial contacts led firms to improve quality on various dimensions (consistent with previous quasi-experimental research).

(0.10)

(0.12)

# $\mathsf{Exports} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Quality} \ \mathsf{Upgrading} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{Learning} \ (\mathsf{cont.})$

	Control	ITT	TOT
	Mean	(1A)	(1B)
Length Accuracy	-4.51	1.43 ***	2.09 ***
		(0.51)	(0.71)
Width Accuracy	-2.29	0.17	0.25
		(0.29)	(0.41)
Weight Accuracy	-221.0	89.1 ***	131.0 ***
		(20.3)	(29.6)
Time (in minutes)	247.0	-5.67	-8.3
		(6.6)	(9.5)
R-squared		0.84	0.84
Observations		748	748

The treated firms had better performance than control firms even for identical-specification rugs. Productivity improved.

# Summary

Learning is not automatic!

- Just hosting a sector/activity should not be the goal of industrial policy.
- Factors that appear to support learning in firms:
  - ▶ Labor "contracts" that encourage information-sharing.
    - ▶ Not clear how policy can bring these about, unfortunately.

#### ► Competition.

- Could be in domestic market, from imports, or on export market.
- Exporting.
  - Exposure to demanding rich-country consumers seems to stimulate productivity improvements as well as quality upgrading.

#### References I

- Atkin, David, Amit K. Khandelwal, and Adam Osman, "Exporting and Firm Performance: Evidence from a Randomized Trial," forthcoming. Forthcoming, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*.
- \_\_\_\_\_, Azam Chaudhry, Shamyla Chaudry, Amit K. Khandelwal, and Eric Verhoogen, "Organizational Barriers to Technology Adoption: Evidence from Soccer-Ball Producers in Pakistan," forthcoming, Forthcoming, Quarterly Journal of Economics.
- Bloom, Nicholas, Benn Eifert, Aprajit Mahajan, David McKenzie, and John Roberts, "Does Management Matter? Evidence from India," Quarterly Journal of Economics, February 2013, 128 (1), 1–51.
- Das, Sanghamitra, Kala Krishna, Sergey Lychagin, and Rohini Somanathan, "Back on the rails: competition and productivity in state-owned industry," American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 2013, 5 (1), 136–162.
- Hendel, Igal and Yossi Spiegel, "Small Steps for Workers, a Giant Leap for Productivity," <u>American Economic</u> Journal: Applied, 2014, 6 (1), 73–90.
- Hidalgo, César A, Bailey Klinger, A-L Barabási, and Ricardo Hausmann, "The Product Space Conditions the Development of Nations," Science, 2007, 317 (5837), 482–487.
- Kuperberg, G. and W. Kuperberg, "Double-Lattice Packings of Convex Bodies in the Plane," <u>Discrete &</u> Computational Geometry, 1990, 5, 389–397.