

Why frontline workers' beliefs about poverty may matter for local development

Zhi Liu, Krishna Savani, Qiong Zhang

- Frontline workers' beliefs about whether poverty can change are associated with their own wellbeing, job attitudes, and the economic outcomes of the communities they serve.
- The study combines survey responses from 3,681 frontline poverty-alleviation workers across 1,121 towns with administrative business-registration data and satellite-derived night-time light.
- Because the evidence is correlational, the findings support testing low-cost, mindset-informed training and better monitoring—not using mindset as a stand-alone hiring or promotion screen.

Anti-poverty policy is often discussed in terms of programme design, resources, institutions, and the people a programme is intended to help. Yet between policy design and beneficiaries stand frontline workers who translate formal rules into day-to-day practice.

This brief examines whether one particular belief—whether poverty is essentially fixed or can change—is related to how frontline workers experience their jobs and to economic activity in the towns they serve.

POLICY BRIEF CHN-20166

AUGUST 2026

This project was funded by the IGC

theigc.org

DIRECTED BY

FUNDED BY

Why frontline implementers matter

Frontline public servants are a critical link between policy design and policy outcomes. They interpret rules, communicate with residents, allocate attention, and persist—or disengage—when implementation becomes difficult. This is especially important in remote and disadvantaged settings, where working conditions are demanding, and formal resources are often limited. Classic work on street-level bureaucracy emphasises the discretion of frontline implementers (Lipsky, 1980).

The study focuses on a less visible dimension of implementation: workers' beliefs about whether poverty is fixed or malleable. A growth mindset about poverty means believing that people's economic circumstances can change through effort, opportunity, and support. A fixed mindset treats poverty as relatively stable and difficult to change. Mindsets can shape how people interpret setbacks and whether they view effort as worthwhile (Dweck, 2012).

What the study did

With support from local government, the research team surveyed frontline poverty-alleviation workers in a large Chinese province between late 2022 and early 2024. The analytical sample contains 3,681 valid responses from current and former participants in the "3+1" Support Programme and the Western Volunteer Programme, covering 1,121 towns in 108 counties.

The survey measured poverty mindset alongside engagement, exhaustion, stress, job satisfaction, self-evaluated performance, programme assessments, and preferences over fair resource allocation. The analysis controlled for age, gender, education, enrolment wave, service type, and related mindsets about personality and the world.

Worker responses were also linked to town-level indicators: monthly new business registrations and satellite-derived night-time luminosity from July 2021 to June 2024. Night-time light is widely used as a proxy for local economic activity where conventional statistics are limited (Henderson et al., 2012).

What the study found

1. Workers with a stronger growth mindset reported better work experiences

A stronger growth mindset about poverty was associated with higher work engagement, lower exhaustion, and lower stress. Workers with this mindset also reported greater job satisfaction, were more likely to recommend their programme as a career option, and rated poverty-alleviation policies as more effective. These

associations remained after controlling for demographic characteristics, service type, and related mindsets.

2. Higher aspirations did not translate into more self-reported activity

Workers with stronger growth mindsets expressed greater hope to make meaningful contributions, but they did not report significantly greater actual contributions or more requests to take on additional duties. This suggests that any link between mindset and outcomes may operate through subtler features of implementation—such as persistence, communication, or responses to setbacks—rather than simply through workers reporting that they do more.

3. Town-level economic indicators also moved with workers' mindsets

Towns served by workers with stronger growth mindsets had more newly registered firms, with the association concentrated in non-manufacturing activities. The same towns also had higher minimum night-time luminosity. By contrast, the mindset measure was not associated with total night-time light or the maximum brightness of already better-lit areas. The pattern is therefore more consistent with improvement at the lower end of local economic activity than with uniform growth across the whole town.

> **The strongest town-level pattern appeared in the least economically active parts of communities, not in already brighter or more prosperous areas.**

This asymmetry is policy-relevant because many frontline programmes aim not only to raise average outcomes, but also to reduce the depth of deprivation in places starting furthest behind.

Policy implications

Treat worker psychology as a complement to programme design

Implementation capacity is not only about formal incentives, technical skills, staffing levels, or budgets. Beliefs about whether the problem itself can change may also shape how workers experience difficult assignments. Mindset, however, should complement—not replace—adequate resources, training, supervision, and local institutional support.

Pilot mindset-informed training rather than screen applicants on mindset

The current study is correlational, so it does not establish that changing poverty mindset will improve community outcomes. A more appropriate next step is to test short, low-cost training modules that encourage a growth-oriented view of poverty and evaluate them experimentally or through phased implementation. Evidence from adjacent domains shows that brief mindset interventions can change beliefs, although effects vary across settings and populations (Burnette et al., 2023).

Track worker wellbeing alongside objective community outcomes

The study demonstrates the value of linking staff surveys with administrative and satellite data. Personnel-management systems could similarly combine measures of worker engagement and wellbeing with objective indicators of programme implementation and local outcomes. Doing so would help distinguish improvements in morale from changes that are visible in the communities being served.

Use the evidence to improve support and retention, not to assign blame

A growth mindset should not be interpreted as evidence that poverty can be solved by individual effort alone. Structural constraints remain central to poverty and to frontline implementation. The practical value of the concept lies in understanding how workers interpret their roles within those constraints and how organisations can support them in remaining engaged and effective.

Implementation considerations and evidence gaps

- Causality remains unresolved: better local economic conditions could themselves make workers more likely to believe that poverty is changeable.
- The evidence comes from a single province in China during a specific phase of state-led poverty alleviation and rural revitalisation; its external validity should be tested in other settings.
- Mindset measures may be useful for diagnosis and programme design, but should not yet be used as a stand-alone, high-stakes hiring or promotion criterion.

- Any mindset intervention should be evaluated for heterogeneous effects and unintended consequences and should be paired with improvements in institutional conditions and worker support.

References

Burnette, J. L., Billingsley, J., Banks, G. C., Knouse, L. E., Hoyt, C. L., Pollack, J. M., & Simon, S. (2023). A systematic review and meta-analysis of growth mindset interventions: For whom, how, and why might such interventions work? *Psychological Bulletin, 149*(3–4), 174–205.

Dweck, C. S. (2012). Implicit theories. In P. A. M. Van Lange, A. W. Kruglanski, & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Handbook of theories of social psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 43–61). SAGE.

Henderson, J. V., Storeygard, A., & Weil, D. N. (2012). Measuring economic growth from outer space. *American Economic Review, 102*(2), 994–1028.

Lipsky, M. (1980). *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public services.* Russell Sage Foundation.